

CKD UPDATE 2024

Christopher V. Poole, MD

ROADMAP

- ► GFR-What does it actually mean
- CKD Complications
- Albuminuria importance
- ► Risk assessment-KFRE
- ACEi/ARB still the BP meds of choice
- Finerenone-deployment and K management
- SGLT-2 Inhibitors-recommended for many
- ► GLP-1 agonist and CKD
- Medication safety in CKD
- Metformin-friend or foe
- Anemia/Acidosis management
- ► The Four Pillars or the Four Horsemen

CKD DEFINITION

- ► GFR <60mL/min/1.73m² for \ge 3 months with or without kidney damage OR
- ► Kidney damage for ≥ 3 months with or without decreased GFR as evidenced by:
- -Pathologic abnormalities or
- -Markers of kidney damage, i.e., proteinuria

National Kidney Foundation. AJKD 2002;39(supp1):S1-S266

Death Is Recognized To Be a More Common Event Than Dialysis in CKD 5-Year Follow-Up



*GFR=mL/min/1.73 m²; †RRT=renal replacement therapy.

Patient population: health plan patients with estimated GFR* <90 followed up until RRT, death, or disenrollment from health plan. N=27,998.

Keith et al. Arch Intern Med. 2004;164:659-663.



THE "ABCS" OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE

CKD COMPLICATIONS

Age <65		ACR,	mg/g			ACR,	mg/g	Age 65+ ACR, mg/g			ACR, mg/g						
eGFRcr-cys	<10	10–29	30–299	300+	<10	10-29	30–299	300+	eGFRcr-cys	<10	10–29	30–299	300+	<10	10–29	30–299	300+
		All-cause	mortality			Myocardia	l infarction				All-cause	mortality			Myocardia	l infarction	
105+	0.99	1.2	1.5	2.4	0.93	1.0	1.1	2.6	105+	1.2	1.4	1.9	3.5	0.97	1.4	2.0	19
90–104	ref	1.3	1.5	2.5	ref	1.2	1.3	1.9	90–104	ref	1.2	1.4	2.0	ref	1.2	1.1	1.9
60–89	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.9	1.3	1.4	1.6	2.1	60–89	1.2	1.5	1.8	2.3	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.9
45–59	2.1	2.7	2.9	4.5	1.8	2.6	3.1	3.5	45–59	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.9	1.6	1.9	2.3	3.4
30–44	2.7	3.8	4.2	5.6	1.9	2.3	3.0	3.9	30–44	2.0	2.4	3.2	4.1	2.1	2.6	3.1	3.8
<30	5.2	4.0	7.1	8.6	4.1	3.6	4.7	5.8	<30	3.4	4.1	5.1	6.5	4.9	3.0	5.1	5.0
	C	Cardiovascu	ılar mortalit	у		Stro	oke			C	Cardiovascu	lar mortalit	ty .		Str	oke	
105+	0.95	1.4	1.7	4	0.96	1.2	1.6	2.7	105+	1.1	1.5	2.0	12	1.2	1.3	1.5	3.3
90–104	ref	1.6	1.8	3.5	ref	1.2	1.5	2.2	90–104	ref	1.4	1.4	3.4	ref	1.3	1.3	2.8
60–89	1.3	1.7	2.3	3.9	1.2	1.4	1.7	2.6	60–89	1.2	1.7	2.2	3.1	1.1	1.4	1.8	2.5
45–59	2.5	4.0	4.6	6.0	1.9	2.0	2.5	3.8	45–59	1.7	2.4	3.0	4.3	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.3
30–44	3.1	6.6	5.3	7.1	2.6	3.7	3.5	3.5	30–44	2.4	3.1	4.5	5.8	1.5	2.0	2.1	2.3
<30	6.0	5.5	9.4	12	2.6	2.9	5.1	5.1	<30	5.7	5.2	5.1	7.8	1.7	2.0	2.4	4.8
	Kidney failure replacement therapy Heart failure					Kidney	y failure rep	lacement t	herapy		Heart	failure					
105+	0.57	0.77	2.3	12	0.86	1.1	1.7	3.4	105+	2.0	1.0	2.1		0.99	1.5	1.7	7.0
90–104	ref	1.4	3.9	11	ref	1.3	1.5	3.0	90–104	ref	1.9	4.7	10	ref	1.3	1.5	2.2
60–89	1.9	3.7	8.3	33	1.2	1.7	2.1	3.6	60–89	1.4	2.6	6.2	19	1.2	1.5	2.0	3.2
45–59	7.0	16	28	100	1.7	3.3	3.4	5.3	45–59	3.7	7.9	16	42	1.6	2.0	2.9	4.1
30–44	22	34	109	210	3.5	4.3	6.8	5.7	30–44	14	14	46	137	2.3	2.9	3.5	6.1
<30	335	267	419	625	7.5	6.3	9.7	8.9	<30	87	364	241	406	4.4	4.1	5.5	7.2
		Acute kid	ney injury			Atrial fib	orillation				Acute kid	ney injury			Atrial fib	orillation	
105+	0.75	1.0	1.4	3.4	0.93	1.0	1.3	1.9	105+	0.91	1.1	1.3	1.9	0.95	1.1	1.0	3.7
90–104	ref	1.2	1.8	2.6	ref	1.2	1.4	2.3	90–104	ref	1.3	1.4	3.9	ref	1.2	1.3	2.4
60–89	1.6	2.7	2.9	5.8	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.8	60–89	1.5	2.1	2.7	4.7	1.1	1.2	1.5	2.0
45–59	4.2	6.0	5.6	7.6	1.5	2.0	2.1	2.6	45–59	3.6	4.3	5.1	7.3	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.9
30–44	5.7	9.4	9.8	9.4	1.8	2.4	3.0	2.8	30–44	5.7	5.9	7.2	9.8	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.2
<30	15	14	14	13	3.7	2.9	4.3	5.4	<30	10	11	11	22	1.8	1.8	2.2	3.2
		Hospita	lization		P	Peripheral a	rtery diseas	e			Hospita	lization		Р	eripheral a	rtery diseas	e
105+	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.5	0.93	1.9	1.5	2.6	105+	1.0	1.1	1.2	2.2	1.1	2.3	2.9	4.9
90–104	ref	1.1	1.2	1.3	ref	1.8	2.1	3.9	90–104	ref	1.1	1.3	1.4	ref	1.3	2.0	4.8
60–89	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.2	2.1	2.2	5.4	60–89	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.6	2.0	3.2
45-59	1.3	1.7	1.5	2.0	3.2	7.3	3.4	8.4	45–59	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.8	3.1	3.1
30-44	1.5	1.8	1.6	2.1	6.5	9.1	6.6	13	30–44	1.5	1.4	1.6	2.0	3.5	2.8	3.8	5.9
<30	2.1	2.4	2.4	3.5	1.4	7.6	18	16	<30	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.6	8.4	4.1	5.9	10



CKD AND COMPLICATIONS

Overall		Urine album	in-creatinine	e ratio, mg/g		Urine albumin-creatinine ratio, mg/g					
eGFRcr	<10	10–29	30–299	300-999	1000+	<10	10–29	30–299	300-999	1000+	
	26	All-cause 444 384 par	mortality: 8 ticipants; 2 6	2 cohorts 604 028 even	ts	Myocardial infarction: 64 cohorts 22 838 356 participants; 451 063 events					
105+	1.6	2.2	2.9	4.3	5.8	1.1	1.4	2.0	2.7	3.8	
90–104	ref	1.3	1.8	2.6	3.1	ref	1.3	1.6	2.2	3.2	
60-89	1.0	1.3	1.7	2.2	2.8	1.1	1.3	1.6	2.2	3.1	
45–59	1.3	1.6	2.0	2.4	3.1	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.8	3.7	
30–44	1.8	2.0	2.5	3.2	3.9	1.9	2.0	2.4	3.2	4.3	
15–29	2.8	2.8	3.3	4.1	5.6	2.7	3.1	3.1	4.2	5.1	
<15	4.6	5.0	5.3	6.0	7.0	4.6	5.6	4.8	6.0	6.0	
	20	Cardiovascu 5 022 346 pa	lar mortality rticipants; 7	/: 76 cohorts 76 441 event	s	Stroke: 68 cohorts 24 746 436 participants; 461 785 events					
105+	1.4	2.0	3.0	4.1	5.4	1.2	1.6	2.2	3.1	4.3	
90-104	ref	1.3	1.9	2.7	3.6	ref	1.3	1.6	2.4	3.1	
60-89	1.0	1.4	1.7	2.4	3.2	1.1	1.3	1.7	2.2	3.0	
45-59	1.4	1.7	2.2	2.8	3.8	1.4	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.9	
30-44	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.7	4.6	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.4	3.0	
15–29	3.2	3.1	3.5	5.0	6.5	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.7	3.0	
<15	6.1	6.4	6.4	7.3	8.2	3.2	2.8	2.9	3.2	3.8	
	Kidney f 2	ailure with r 5 466 956 pa	eplacement rticipants; 1	therapy: 57 58 846 event	24	Heart 603 016 par	failure: 61 c ticipants; 1	ohorts 132 443 even	ts		
105+	0.5	1.2	2.9	7.7	25	1.2	1.7	2.7	4.2	6.9	
90–104	ref	1.8	4.3	12	43	ref	1.3	2.0	2.8	4.2	
60–89	2.3	4.9	10	27	85	1.1	1.4	1.9	2.7	4.2	
45–59	13	19	37	89	236	1.6	1.8	2.4	3.4	5.0	
30–44	50	58	115	240	463	2.2	2.5	3.1	4.2	6.5	
15–29	283	301	443	796	1253	3.6	3.5	4.1	5.8	8.1	
<15	770	1040	1618	2297	2547	5.1	5.7	5.8	7.9	9.9	
	23	Acute kid 914 614 par	ney injury: 4 ticipants; 1 4	9 cohorts 108 929 even	ts	Atrial fibrillation: 50 cohorts 22 886 642 participants; 1 068 701 events					
105+	1.0	1.6	2.4	3.7	5.5	1.1	1.3	1.7	2.4	3.5	
90–104	ref	1.4	2.1	3.2	5.0	ref	1.2	1.5	1.9	2.3	
60-89	1.6	2.2	3.1	4.3	6.7	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.7	2.2	
45-59	3.5	4.0	5.1	6.9	9.0	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.8	2.4	
30-44	5.6	5.9	6.8	8.6	11	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.4	
15–29	8.3	8.0	8.5	9.9	10	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.6	3.0	
<15	8.5	11	7.9	5.5	5.7	2.6	2.5	3.1	3.6	4.2	
	25	Hospita 426 722 par	lization: 49 ticipants; 8 3	cohorts 198 637 even	ts	24	Peripheral a 4 830 794 pa	rtery diseas rticipants; 3	e: 54 cohorts 78 924 event	s	
105+	1.4	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.3	0.9	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.0	
90–104	ref	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.7	ref	1.3	1.9	2.8	4.3	
60–89	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.0	1.3	1.8	2.5	3.8	
45–59	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.1	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.9	4.2	
30-44	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.0	1.9	2.5	3.6	5.0	
15–29	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.8	3.3	3.3	3.8	5.7	8.1	
<15	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.8	9.1	9.0	9.6	13	14	

GFR-HOW ITS ESTIMATED

- ► The best we can do is estimate it
- Derived from a variety of equations
- Some or all are used as variables: SCr(or Cystatin C), gender, age, and weight
- MDRD equation remains most commonly used
- ► eGFR=175x (Scr)^{-1.154}x(age)^{-0.203}x0.742[if female)
- There was previously an adjustment factor for race of 1.212[if AA], now removed
- Still felt to be most accurate equation available
- But there are some issues

GFR-POINTS TO CONSIDER

- Creatinine not the most ideal filtration marker
- Generation-affected by muscle mass, protein intake, age
- Secretion-decreased by cephalosporins and AG abx, flucytosine, cisplatin, cimetidine, trimethoprim
- Limited utility in AKI due to non-steady state nature of the patient
- 24hr urine study for CrCl may still be useful, i.e., for potential transplant donors or very muscular patients
- Decreased accuracy at higher GFR levels
- Race component has been removed from eGFR equation
- Cystatin C based eGFR may be more useful in certain cases

UNDERSTANDING ALBUMINURIA

- Albuminuria reflects kidney injury
- Refers to increased urinary excretion of albumin, which mots closely correlates with CKD and CVD risk
- Albuminuria sensitive for DM or HTN CKD
- Albuminuria-increased risk of HD, CVD and death

National Kidney Foundation. AJKD 2002;39(supp1):S1-S266

ASSESSING ALBUMINURIA-ACR

- Need to discern how much the patient is excreting in 24-hour period
- Spot albumin to creatinine ratio is an accurate way to assess protein excretion
- The ratio approximates the grams of albumin excreted per 24 hr period
- 200mg/dL albumin, 100mg/dL creatinine=2 grams albumin excretion per 24 hrs
- Eliminates need for 24-hour urine collection, on a routine basis
- Most accurate on early AM specimen







ROLE OF GENETIC TESTING







				Alk D	ouminuria categor escription and rang	ies ge
				A1	A2	A3
	С	KD is classified based on • Cause (C)	:	Normal to mildly increased	Moderately increased	Severely increased
		• GFR (G) • Albuminuria (A)		<30 mg/g <3 mg/mmol	30–299 mg/g 3–29 mg/mmol	≥300 mg/g ≥30 mg/mmol
(₂	G1	Normal or high	≥90	Screen 1	Treat 1	Treat 3
/ 1.73 m ² nge	G2	Mildly decreased	60–89	Screen 1	Treat 1	Treat 3
(ml/min and rar	G3a	Mildly to moderately decreased	45–59	Treat 1	Treat 2	Treat 3
egories scription	G3b	Moderately to severely decreased	30–44	Treat 2	Treat 3	Treat 3
FR cate Det	G4	Severely decreased	15–29	Treat* 3	Treat* 3	Treat 4+
G	G5	Kidney failure	<15	Treat 4+	Treat 4+	Treat 4+
		Low risk (if no other ma	arkers of k	idney disease, no CKE) High risk	
		Moderately increased r	risk		Very high r	risk



KIDNEY RISK FACTOR EQUATION

Use the Kidney Failure Risk Equation to determine 2 and 5 year probability of treated kidney failure (dialysis or transplantation) for a patient with CKD Stage 3 to 5.

Age (yrs)	76	
Sex	Male 🛟	
GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	28	
Urine Albumin:Creatinine Ratio	900	⊙ mg/g ⊃ mg/mmol
Calcium	9	⊙ mg/dL ⊖ mmol/L
Phosphorus	4.5	● mg/dL ○ mmol/L
Albumin	3.4	⊙ g/dL ⊃ g/L
Bicarbonate (mmol/L)	22	
	Submit	

KFRE ACCURACY

How good is Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) in

advanced CKD with different etiologies? **Baseline KFRE** Observed Risk Discrimination Calibration Retrospective 2010-2016 Median score (IQR) Identify patients likely to progress to kidney n = 1293 2 year 47% (23, 71%) failure Predicted Risk CKD 5 year 87% (53, 98%) stage 4 & 5 AUC (95%CI) Hosmer-Lemeshow p-value Median eGFR 15 ml/min 2 year 0.83 (0.81,0.85) 2 year p = 0.36**Highest scores KFRE** Glomerulonephritis **Diabetic kidney** 5 year 0.81 (0.77,0.84) 5 year p = 0.31Predicts kidney disease ם שש שש failure risk using ✓ Age Lowest scores ✓ Sex 3 2 Adequate discrimination Adequate calibration ✓ Hypertensive ✓ eGFR across all etiologies nephrosclerosis ✓ Ur albumin/creat across all etiologies ADPKD Conclusions: The KFRE provided adequate to excellent discrimination Gregory L. Hundemer, Navdeep Tangri, Manish M. Sood, Tim Ramsay, et al. n identifying advanced CKD patients likely to progress to kidney failure Performance of the Kidney Failure Risk Equation by Disease Etiology in Advanced CKD. CJASN doi: 10.2215/CJN.03940320. Visual Abstract by Divya Bajpai, MD, PhD

Figure 14

USING KFRE





Figure 16

KFRE CASE STUDY

Patient profile:

50-year-old male with diabetes, eGFR 80 ml/min per 1.73 m², urine ACR 1 g/g Kidney failure risk: 0.07% over 2 years, 0.23% over 5 years CKD progression risk: 10.4% over 3 years





USING KFRE TO GUIDE REFERRAL

- What is the patient's GFR trajectory?
- What is their risk of kidney failure on a 5-year timeline?
- If that risk is 3% or greater, the patient would benefit from nephrology referral
- Risk>10%- needs CKD education, dietary education
- Risk >40%-needs preparation for RRT

WHEN TO REFER

- eGFR <30cc/min, eGFR <60cc/min if deemed higher risk of progression
- Proteinuria >500mg/day
- Resistant HTN
- ► Decrease in GFR >30% in 4-month period
- Persistent hyperkalemia (more options to treat this now)

OVERALL GAMEPLAN



Copyright © 2023 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Terms and Conditions

ELSEVIER

DIETARY PROTEIN INTAKE

Body weight (kg)	35	40	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100
Grams of protein per day (wt × 0.8 g/kg)	28	32	40	44	48	52	56	60	64	68	72	76	80

- Maintaining protein intake of 0.8g/kg for adults in CKD G3-G5
- ► This is only a 2C recommendation
- This is at the level of "we suggest" with "low evidence of certainty"
- Reasonable to avoid high protein diets in these patients and have a renal dietician involved if intake higher than 1.3g/kg being considered



BP CONTROL

- ▶ SBP goal of <120mmHg is a 2B level recommendation
- Suggestion with moderate certainty of evidence
- Most of the benefit is derived from lower CVD risk
- Those with frailty should have somewhat higher target

Figure 21

ACE/ARB INITIATION



Copyright © 2023 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Terms and Conditions

MINERALOCORTICOID RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS

- Nonsteroidal MRA-Finerenone
- Steroidal MRAs-spironolactone/eplerenone
- nsMRA-showed benefit in heart failure hospitalizations as an add on to maximal dose RASi
- Treatment withdrawal in studies 1.7% for hyperkalemia

FINERENONE-FIDELIO-DKD

- Nonsteroidal selective MRA (more selective than eplerenone)
- ► 5734 patients with 1:1 finerenone:placebo
- Type II DM with GFR 25-60cc/min, 30-300mg ACR with DM retinopathy OR
- ► Type II DM with 300-5000mg ACR with GFR 25-75cc/min
- All on RAS agents
- Primary composite outcome-loss of 40% GFR, renal failure or death from renal causes
- ▶ \$680/month vs \$30/month for eplerenone



Kidney Outcomes.

GL Bakris et al. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2219-2229.



Effects on Albuminuria and Serum Potassium over Time.



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

GL Bakris et al. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2219-2229.

Figure 27

FINERENONE TRIAL DATA

Outcome	Finerenone	(n = 6519)	Placebo (n =	6507)		Hazard ratio	P value ^a
	Number of patients with event (%)	Number of patients with event per 100 patient-years	Number of patients with event (%)	Number of patients with event per 100 patient-years		(95% CI)	
Composite cardiovascular outcome ^b	825 (12.7)	4.34	939 (14.4)	5.01		0.86 (0.78–0.95)	0.0018
Death from cardiovascular causes	322 (4.9)	1.61	364 (5.6)	1.84		0.88 (0.76–1.02)	0.092
Nonfatal myocardial infarction	173 (2.7)	0.88	189 (2.9)	0.97		0.91(0.74–1.12)	0.36
Nonfatal stroke	198 (3.0)	1.01	198 (3.0)	1.02	⊢¢I	0.99 (0.82–1.21)	0.95
Hospitalization for heart failure	256 (3.9)	1.31	325 (5.0)	1.68		0.78 (0.66–0.92)	0.0030
	260 (5 5)	1.06	465 (7.1)	2.55		0.77 (0.67, 0.99)	0.0000
Kide as feilure	300 (3.3)	1.90	403 (7.1)	2.55		0.77 (0.07-0.88)	0.0002
Kidney failure	254 (3.9)	1.38	297 (4.6)	1.62		0.84 (0.71–0.99)	0.039
End-stage kidney disease ^a	151 (2.3)	0.76	188 (2.9)	0.96		0.80 (0.64–0.99)	0.040 ^e
Sustained decrease in eGFR to <15 ml/min/1.73 m ²	195 (3.0)	1.06	237 (3.6)	1.29		0.81(0.67–0.98)	0.026 ^e
Sustained ≥57% decrease in eGFR from baseline	257 (3.9)	1.40	361 (5.5)	4.03		0.70 (0.60–0.83)	<0.0001
Renal death	2 (<0.1)	0.01	4 (<0.1)	0.02		0.53 (0.10–2.91)	0.46 ^e
eGFR ≥40% composite kidney outcome ^f	854 (13.1)	4.81	995 (15.3)	5.64		0.85 (0.77–0.93)	0.0004
Sustained ≥40% decrease in eGFR from baseline	817 (12.5)	4.60	962 (14.8)	5.45		0.84 (0.76–0.92)	0.0002
Death from any cause	552 (8.5)	2.76	614 (9.4)	3.10		0.89 (0.79->1.00 ^g)	0.051°
Hospitalization for any cause	2836 (43.5)	19.04	2926 (45.0)	19.91	⊢ ⊖ +	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	0.087 ^e
				0.5 Fav	vors finerenone Favors pl	2.0	



HYPERKALEMIA

1st line: Address correctable factors	 Review non-RASi medications (e.g. NSAIDs, trimethoprim) Assess dietary potassium intake (dietary referral) and consider appropriate moderation of dietary potassium intake
2nd line: Medications	Consider: • Appropriate use of diuretics • Optimize serum bicarbonate levels • Licensed potassium exchange agents
3rd line: Last resort	 Reduce dose or discontinue RASi/MRA (Discontinuation is associated with increased cardiovascular events. Review and restart RASi or MRA at a later date if patient condition allows.)



HYPERKALEMIA

- ► Follow up labs are vital
- Options to treat this but they are quite expensive
- ► Patiromer-8.4g dose~ \$35
- Sodium zirconium cyclosilicate-10g dose~ \$27
- Sodium polystyrene sulfonate-15g dose~ \$2.00, if you can find it and it gets poor patient reviews

SGLT2 INHIBITORS

- Recommended at Level 1A for Type 2 DM with eGFR>20cc/min
- Recommended with High certainty of evidence
- Plethora of data for improvement in kidney survival, CV death, and heart failure hospitalizations
- Recommended at 1A for any CKD with eGFR >20cc/min and ACR >200mg/g or heart failure irrespective of level of albuminuria
- Recommended 2B(suggestion with moderate certainty) for CKD eGFR 20-45cc/min with ACR<200mg/g

SGLT KIDNEY OUTCOMES DM/NON-DM

к	Kidney disease progression								Acute kidı	ney injury				
M baseline e0	lean GFR,	Events/pa	rticipants	Event ra 1000 pa	te per tient-years			RR (95% CI)	Events/pa	rticipants	Event r 1000 pa	ate per atient-years		RR (95% CI)
ml/min 1.73	per 3 m²	SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo	SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo				SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo	SGLT2 inhibito	Placebo r		
Diabetes														
DECLARE-TIMI 58	85	56/8582	102/8578	1.6	3.0 -			0.55 (0.39–0.76)	125/8574	175/8569	3.5	4.9		0.69 (0.55–0.87)
CANVAS Program	77	80/5795	81/4347	3.6	5.8	— <u> </u>		0.61 (0.45–0.83)	30/5790	28/4344	1.6	2.5 -		0.66 (0.39–1.11)
VERTIS CV	76	49/5499	32/2747	2.6	3.4		+	0.76 (0.49–1.19)	42/5493	22/2745	2.5	2.7		0.95 (0.57–1.59)
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	74	51/4645	47/2323	4.0	7.6 —			0.51 (0.35–0.76)	45/4687	37/2333	2.5	6.2 —		0.41 (0.27–0.63)
DAPA-HF	63	18/1075	24/1064	12	16 -		<u> </u>	0.73 (0.39–1.34)	31/1073	39/1063	19	24		0.79 (0.50–1.25)
EMPEROR-REDUCED	61	13/927	23/929	13	24		ł	0.52 (0.26–1.03)	26/927	33/929	21	27		0.77 (0.46–1.28)
EMPEROR-PRESERVED	60	38/1466	44/1472	15	18		<u> </u>	0.82 (0.53–1.27)	60/1466	84/1472	20	28		0.69 (0.50–0.97)
DELIVER	60	33/1578	37/1572	9.5	11		<u> </u>	0.87 (0.54–1.39)	59/1578	52/1572	17	15		1.13 (0.78–1.63)
CREDENCE	56	153/2202	230/2199	27	41	- i		0.64 (0.52–0.79)	86/2200	98/2197	17	20		0.85 (0.64–1.13)
SOLOIST-WHF	51	NA/NA	NA/NA						25/605	27/611	55	59		0.94 (0.55–1.59)
SCORED	44	37/5292	52/5292	5.0	7.0		F	0.71 (0.46–1.08)	116/5291	111/5286	16	16		1.04 (0.81–1.35)
DAPA–CKD	44	103/1455	173/1451	35	60	- D		0.57 (0.45–0.73)	48/1455	69/1451	15	22		0.66 (0.46–0.96)
EMPA-KIDNEY	36	108/1525	175/1515	36	59			0.55 (0.44–0.71)	73/1525	81/1515	24	27		0.88 (0.64–1.20)
Subtotal: diabetes	67	739/40,041	1020/33,489	••		\diamond		0.62 (0.56–0.68)	766/40,664	856/34,087	••		\diamond	0.79 (0.72–0.88)
No diabetes														
DAPA-HF	68	10/1298	15/1307	5.0	8.0	q	<u> </u>	0.67 (0.30–1.49)	18/1295	30/1305	9.9	16 —		0.60 (0.34–1.08)
EMPEROR-REDUCED	63	5/936	10/938	5.2	10 🔶		<u> </u>	0.50 (0.17–1.48)	20/936	34/938	16	28		0.56 (0.32–0.98)
DELIVER*	63	17/1551	17/1557	5.0	4.9		⊨ →	1.01 (0.51–1.97)	30/1551	47/1558	8.8	14 -		0.64 (0.41–1.02)
EMPEROR-PRESERVED	62	12/1531	18/1519	4.5	6.9 —	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0.68 (0.33–1.40)	37/1531	47/1519	12	15		0.80 (0.52–1.23)
DAPA–CKD	42	39/697	70/701	29	53 —			0.51 (0.34–0.75)	16/697	21/701	11	15 –		0.75 (0.39–1.43)
EMPA-KIDNEY	39	119/1779	157/1790	35	47			0.74 (0.59–0.95)	34/1779	54/1790	10	16 ·		0.63 (0.41–0.97)
Subtotal: no diabetes	56	202/7792	287/7812			\diamond		0.69 (0.57–0.82)	155/7789	233/7811	•		\triangleleft	0.66 (0.54–0.81)
Total: overall	65	941/47,833	1307/41,301			\diamond		0.63 (0.58–0.69)	921/48,453	1089/41,898	•		\diamond	0.77 (0.70–0.84)
					0.25	0.5 0.75 1.	.00 1.5	50				0.25	0.5 0.75 1.00 1.50	
Trend across trials sorted b Diabetes <i>P</i> =0.87; No diabetes <i>P</i> =0.86; Heterogeneity by diabetes	oy eGF s statu	R: s: <i>P</i> =0.31			Favors SG	LT2 inhibitor	Favo	placebo	Trend across Diabetes P= No diabetes Heterogene	trials sorted by 0.02; P=0.66; ty by diabetes si	eGFR: tatus: <i>P=</i> 0.7	Favors SGI	T2 inhibitor Favor	s placebo



SGLT2 AND CV OUTCOMES

	Cardiovascular Mean baseline eGFR, ml/min per	ardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure* Mean Events/participants paseline eGFR, nl/min per .73 m² SCLT2 inhibitor Placebo			RR (95% CI)	Cardiovascular Events/particip	death ants		RR (95% CI)
	1.73 m ²	SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo			SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo		
Diabetes									
High atherosclerotic								:	
cardiovascular risk trials	80	1490/24,563	1232/18,005	- - -	0.80 (0.74–0.86)	1026/24,563	755/18,005	-@-	0.86 (0.78–0.95)
Stable heart failure trials ⁺	61	923/5046	1154/5037		0.77 (0.71–0.84)	468/5046	527/5037		0.88 (0.78–0.99)
Chronic kidney disease trials	45	643/10,474	847/10,457		0.74 (0.66–0.82)	363/10,474	434/10,457		0.83 (0.72–0.95)
Subtotal: diabetes	67	3056/40,691	3233/34,113		0.77 (0.73–0.81)	1908/40,691	1774/34,113	\diamond	0.86 (0.80–0.92)
No diabetes									
Stable heart failure trials ⁺	64	710/5316	890/5322		0.78 (0.70–0.86)	396/5316	452/5322		0.88 (0.77–1.00)
Chronic kidney disease trials	40	50/2476	53/2491		0.95 (0.65–1.40)	26/2476	25/2491		1.04 (0.59–1.83)
Subtotal: no diabetes	56	760/7792	943/7813	\diamond	0.79 (0.72–0.87)	422/7792	477/7813		0.88 (0.78–1.01)
Total: overall	65	3816/48,483	4176/41,926		0.77 (0.74–0.81)	2330/48,483	2251/41,926	\diamond	0.86 (0.81–0.92)
Heterogeneity by diabetes st	atus: <i>P</i> =0.67					Heterogeneity by	y diabetes status: P=	0.68	
			Г <u> </u>		I		Г <u> </u>		
	Newsellesses	de a de este				All			
Diabetes	Noncardiovasci	llar death		1		All-cause death	1		
Diabetes									
High atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk trials	80	572/24,557	461/18,003		0.88 (0.78–1.00)	1671/24 ,563	1299/18,005	<u> </u>	0.87 (0.81–0.94)
Stable heart failure trials [†]	61	317/5046	316/5037	<u> </u>	1.00 (0.86–1.16)	785/5046	843/5037	-	0.93 (0.84–1.02)
Chronic kidney disease trials	45	230/10,474	240/10,457	_ _	0.94 (0.79–1.12)	599/10,474	683/10,457		0.87 (0.78–0.97)
Subtotal: diabetes	67	1133/40,685	1035/34,111		0.93 (0.85–1.01)	3120/40.691	2901/34.113]-�	0.88 (0.84–0.93)
No diabetes			,	-		,	,		,
Stable heart failure trials [†]	64	263/5316	251/5322	- <u>-</u>	1.05 (0.88–1.24)	659/5316	703/5322	-i o -i	0.94 (0.85–1.05)
Chronic kidney disease trials	40	38/2476	52/2491		0.74 (0.49–1.14)	64/2476	77/2491		0.84 (0.60–1.18)
Subtotal: no diabetes	56	301/7792	303/7813	\checkmark	1.00 (0.85–1.17)	723/7792	780/7813		0.93 (0.84–1.03)
Total: overall	65	1434/48,477	1338/41,924		0.94 (0.88-1.02)	3843/48,483	3681/41,926	\diamond	0.89 (0.85-0.94)
Heterogeneity by diabetes st	1	,	Heterogeneity by	y diabetes status: P=	0.36				
5 , ,									
			0.5	0.75 1.00 1.25 1.	50		0.5	0.75 1.00 1.25 1.	50



SGLT2 EFFECT ON KIDNEY FAILURE

	Mean baseline	Events/partic	cipants	Rate per 100 patient-year	00 s		Relative risk (95% Cl)	Trend across trials sorted
	eGFR (mi/min/ 1.73 m ²)	SGLT2i	Placebo	SGLT2i	Placebo			by eGFK
Diabetes								
CREDENCE	56	116/2202	165/2199	20	29		0.68 (0.54, 0.86)	
SCORED	44	NA/NA	NA/NA	26	37			
DAPA-CKD	44	77/1455	109/1451	24	39	;	0.69 (0.51, 0.92)	P=0.48
EMPA-KIDNEY	36	74/1525	116/1515				0.59 (0.44, 0.79)	
Subtotal: DIABETES	47	267/5182	390/5165			\Leftrightarrow	0.66 (0.56, 0.77)	
No diabetes								
DAPA-CKD	42	32/697	52/701	24	39		0 56 (0 36 0 87)	
EMPA-KIDNEY	39	83/1779	105/1790	25	31		0.80 (0.60, 1.07)	<i>P</i> =0.19
Subtotal: NO DIABETES	40	115/2476	157/2491	23	51		0.72 (0.56, 0.91)	
TOTAL: OVERALL	45	382/7658	547/7656			-	0.67 (0.59, 0.77)	
						0.5 0.75 1.00 1.25 1	.50	
						SGLIZI better Placeb	o better	
					Hete	rogeneity by diabetes sta	tus: <i>P</i> =0.54	



SGLT2 EFFECT ON GFR CHANGE

		Mean annual r (ml/m	ate of change in estimated GF in per 1.73 m² per year)	R
Subgroup	Empagliflozin	Placebo		Absolute difference
			Total slope	(95% CI)
Diabetes				
Present	-2.01 (0.11)	–2.91 (0.11)		0.90 (0.59, 1.21)
Absent	-2.30 (0.10)	–2.92 (0.10)		0.62 (0.33, 0.91)
Estimated GFR (ml/ı	min per 1.73 m²)			
<30	-2.12 (0.13)	-2.64 (0.13)		0.51 (0.15, 0.87)
≥30 <45	-1.86 (0.11)	-2.59 (0.11)	— <u> </u>	0.73 (0.42, 1.05)
≥45	-2.83 (0.16)	-4.04 (0.17)		1.21 (0.76, 1.67)
Urinary albumin-to-	creatinine ratio (m	g/g)		
<30	-0.72 (0.16)	-0.88 (0.16)		0.17 (-0.27, 0.60)
≥30 ≤300	-1.19 (0.13)	-1.64 (0.13)		0.46 (0.09, 0.83)
>300	-3.22 (0.10)	-4.42 (0.10)	-0-	1.19 (0.92, 1.47)
All participants	-2.16 (0.08)	-2.92 (0.08)	\sim	0.75 (0.54, 0.96)
Diabetes			Long-term slope	
Present	-1.05 (0.12)	-2.73 (0.12)		1.68 (1.36, 2.00)
Absent	-1.66 (0.11)	-2.75 (0.11)		1.09 (0.79, 1.39)
Estimated GFR (ml/)	min per 1.73 m²)			
<30	-1.84 (0.14)	-2.85 (0.14)		1.01 (0.63, 1.39)
≥30<45	-1.18 (0.12)	-2.50 (0.12)		1.32 (0.99, 1.65)
≥45	-1.58 (0.17)	-3.60 (0.17)	\rightarrow	2.01 (1.53, 2.49)
Urinary albumin-to-	creatinine ratio (m	a/a)		
<30	-0.11 (0.17)	-0.89 (0.16)		0.78 (0.32, 1.23)
≥30 ≤300	-0.49 (0.14)	-1.69 (0.14)	·	1.20 (0.81, 1.59)
>300	-2.35 (0.11)	-4.11 (0.11)		1.76 (1.46, 2.05)
All participants	-1.37 (0.08)	-2.75 (0.08)		1.37 (1.16, 1.59)
		-1	-0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2	
		Placebo	← → better Empagliflozin better	ar
		riacebt	inpaginozin bette	- 1



SGLT-2 INHIBITORS

- Appears to be another weapon in the fight on Type 2 DM
- Initiate with GFR >20cc/min, but this may be in evolution
- Adverse reactions to watch for:
 - Yeast infections>>>Fournier's gangrene
 - ► UTIs
 - DKA w/o hyperglycemia-not to be used in the critically ill
 - Amputations/fracture risk, though not seen in study
 - AKI-guidelines don't suggest repeat labs, but I would

FLOW STUDY

- CKD pts with eGFR 50-75cc/min and ACR 30mg to 5000mg and CKD pts with eGFR 20-50cc/min and ACR 100mg to 5000mg
- Given 1mg weekly of semaglutide or placebo
- Looked at major kidney disease events or death from kidneyrelated or cardiovascular causes
- Showed a 24% risk reduction in major kidney disease events

SEMAGLUTIDE IN CKD



METFORMIN-SAFETY IN NUMBERS

- Metformin-Does not cause kidney damage
- ▶ Initial limitations were-Scr 1.5 for men, Scr 1.2 for women
- Changed to GFR>30cc/min if stable and on therapy, caution to start if GFR<45cc/min</p>
- Risk of lactic acidosis-Rare but does exist
- CKD stage 3 patients-less CV risk in metformin users in TREAT study
- 2 episodes of lactic acidosis in 591 patients

FIGURE 22. SUGGESTED APPROACH IN DOSING METFORMIN BASED ON THE LEVEL OF KIDNEY FUNCTION





ESA USE TODAY

- Check iron stores first and check for blood loss
- Discuss with patient risks/benefits
- ► Keep Hgb between 10-11
- Don't start most people until their Hgb is close to 9.0
- ▶ BP must be well controlled as well
- This paradigm has also extended to the ESRD world
- ▶ New KDIGO guideline to come out later in 2024

METABOLIC ACIDOSIS

- Another abnormal lab value in a sea of abnormal labs in a CKD patient
- Concern in ESRD patients due in part to bone loss
- Slow downward creep in bicarbonate levels with CKD
- Does it really matter? Recent studies did not show much benefit in treatment
- Consider treatment when serum bicarbonate <18mmol/L</p>

STATIN THERAPY IN CKD

- Recommended at 1A level for patients >50 with eGFR <60cc/min, not on dialysis or with renal transplant
- Recommended at 2A for those 18-49 with CKD and with comorbid conditions(CAD, DM, CVA)
- Risk of these agents remain low in numerous studies

OAC IN CKD FOR AFIB

а												
eCrCl (ml/min)ª	Warfarin	Apixaban⁵	Dabigatran	Edoxaban ^c	Rivaroxaban							
>95	Adjusted dose (INR 2-3)	5 mg b.i.d.	150 mg b.i.d.	60 mg QD ^d	20 mg QD							
51–95	Adjusted dose (INR 2–3)	5 mg b.i.d.	150 mg b.i.d.	60 mg QD	20 mg QD							
31–50	Adjusted dose (INR 2–3)	5 mg b.i.d. (eCrCl cut off 25 ml/min)	150 mg b.i.d. or 110 mg b.i.d.ª	30 mg QD	15 mg QD							
4												

b

eCrCl (ml/min)ª	Warfarin	Apixaban⁵	Dabigatran	Edoxaban	Rivaroxaban
15–30	Adjusted dose for INR 2–3 could be considered	2.5 mg PO b.i.d. could be considered	Unknown (75 mg PO b.i.d.) ^{fg}	30 mg QD ^h could be considered	15 mg QD could be considered
<15 not on dialysis	Equipoise based on observational data and meta-analysis	Unknown (2.5 mg PO b.i.d.) ^f	Not recommended	Not recommended	Unknown (15 mg QD) ^f
<15 on dialysis	Equipoise based on observational data and meta-analysis	Unknown (2.5 mg PO b.i.d.) ^f	Not recommended	Not recommended	Unknown (15 mg QD) ^f



AVOIDING HARM

	Valacyclovir/Acyclovir Gabapentin Opiates				
Evaluating whether each medication is necessary or whether any other necessary medication is required	Optimizing the	Minimizing medication-	Reviewing the medication list for interactions or adverse effects	Too much GDMT at once	
Determining whether each medication is the preferred medication for its indication	medication impact pat	related D problem ent	Assessing medication adherence		
Resolving any discrepancies between the actual medication list and the one in the medical record Communication with other physicians			Obtaining an accurate medication list		



CKD WORKFLOW



FOUR PILLARS OF DM-CKD THERAPY 2024

RAAS agents-\$20/month
Nonsteroidal MRA-\$650/month
SGLT2 inhibitors-\$390/month
GLP-1 agonist-\$950/month
Total-\$2010/month



SUMMARY

- We are all actively treating CKD everyday
- BP, BG control remain key
- SGLT-2 inhibitors are now in the "must have" group
- My goal as a nephrologist is to help the patient's kidneys last one day longer than the rest of the patient
- How do we afford all these recommendations?
- "You are what your kidneys choose to keep."
- ► <u>Dr.poole@nephassociates.com</u>,
- ► Twitter-@cvpoole14, Yourin Trouble